

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,007	04/03/2001	Nobuo Suzuki	107317-00026	6467
7590 05/21/2007 ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			EXAMINER	
Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			VILLECCO, JOHN M	
Washington, Do			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		,				
		09/824,007	SUZUKI, NOBUO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John M. Villecco	2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sn	eet with the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOMAINS OF THE MAILING T	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX (a), cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed B) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The standard of the communication of the communication of the communication.			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	ebruary 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under \boldsymbol{E}	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □	Claim(s) 1,4-8 and 10-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-8 and 10-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	wn from consideratio	•			
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 April 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
19/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	-			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the att	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been receive s have been receive rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage .			
Attachmen	t(s)		·			
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Pap 5) Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application er:			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed February 20, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Regarding independent claims 1, 14, and 20, applicant has amended the claims to include some form of the limitation of a row shift circuit including a row read scan circuit supplying a read signal to the row selection signal lines sequentially, and a reset scan circuit supplying a reset signal to the reset signal lines sequentially, the row shift circuit having no random access function. This limitation does not appear to overcome the prior art of record. Clearly, Roberts discloses that the whole image may be read out, not only selected image regions. See column 1, lines 15-20. Additionally, Roberts teaches the use of a decoder and latch circuit (24) which is used to control the scanning of the image sensor. More specifically, the decoder and latch circuit (24) includes a latch (104) for outputting a reset signal and latch (106) for outputting a row selection signal. See column 6, lines 30-45. Furthermore, Roberts discloses that the row selection and reset functions are implemented in a conventional row-by-row order. See column 7, lines 10-47. Thus, the examiner is interpreting the latch and decoder circuit (24) to be the row shift circuit which includes a row read scan circuit and a reset scan circuit. To address the limitation of "the row shift circuit having no random access function", since Roberts teaches that the imager operates to scan pixels in a "conventional row by row order" (col. 7, lines 33-34), the imager is operating without having a random access function. Since the row shift circuit is

Page 3

random access function.

operating to access each row for reset and readout sequentially, it is not operating under a

- 3. For the above stated reasons the rejections from the previous office action will be repeated.
- 4. Applicant's amendment also brings up some 112, 2nd paragraph issues. Please see the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection on the following pages.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 4-7 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has amended independent claim 1, from which claims 4 and 10 depend, to recite the limitation of "a row shift circuit including *a row read scan circuit* capable of supplying a read signal to said plurality of row selection signal lines sequentially, and *a reset scan circuit* capable of supplying a reset signal to said plurality of reset signal lines sequentially, the row shift circuit having no random access function". Claims 4 and 10 both recite the further limitations of "a readout row-shifter" and a "reset row-shifter". It is not clear whether these limitations are the same thing, as it appears that it is. It is clear from both the specifications and the drawings that both of these limitations are the same thing as the "row read scan circuit" and the "reset scan circuit" of the newly added claim language of claim 1. Applicant is required to maintain

Application/Control Number: 09/824,007

Art Unit: 2622

consistent phraseology in the claims. For examination purposes it will be assumed that these two different wordings are the same thing as claimed in claim 1.

7. Claims 5-7 and 11-13 are rejected based upon their dependency to claims 4 and 10, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1, 4-8, 10-13, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts (U.S. Patent No. 5,452,004).
- 10. Regarding *claim 1*, Roberts discloses a MOS-type solid-state image pickup device, comprising: a semiconductor substrate (Fig. 1, element 14); a large number of pixels arranged in one surface of the semiconductor substrate in an array having a plurality of rows and a plurality of columns (Fig. 1, element 12), each pixel (Fig. 1, element 40) including (a) a photoelectric converter element having a cathode (Fig. 9, element 42) and (b) a switching circuit (Fig. 9, element 40) electrically connected to the photoelectric converter element for controlling generation of an output signal representing electric charge accumulated in the photoelectric converter element and discharge of the electric charge (col. 8, line 44-55); a plurality of row selection signal lines (Fig. 2, element 56) disposed along a row direction, each being associated with one pixel row for supplying a row selection signal; a plurality of output signal lines (Fig. 9,

CCVL) disposed along a column, each being associated with at least one pixel column; a plurality of reset signal lines (Fig. 2, element 68) disposed along the row direction, each being associated with one pixel row for supplying a reset signal (col. 8, lines 44-55; col. 7, lines 11-26); a power source line (Fig. 2, element Vssa); and an overall reset controller (Fig. 1, element 208; col. 12, lines 28-50) supplying an overall reset signal to all of said reset signal lines at a time; wherein said switching circuit comprises: a series connection of an output transistor (Fig. 2, element 74) and a selection transistor (Fig. 2, element 76) connected between the power source line and an associated output signal line, the output transistor having a gate being capable of receiving a potential generated by the charge accumulated in said cathode (Fig. 2, element 72), the selection transistor having a gate connected to an associated row selection signal line (Fig. 2, element 80); and a reset transistor connected between said cathode and said power source line (Fig. 2, element 70); and having a gate connected to an associated reset signal line (Fig. 2, element 68). Additionally, Roberts teaches the use of a decoder and latch circuit (24) which is used to control the scanning of the image sensor. More specifically, the decoder and latch circuit (24) includes a latch (104) for outputting a reset signal and latch (106) for outputting a row selection signal. See column 6, lines 30-45. Furthermore, Roberts discloses that the row selection and reset functions are implemented in a conventional row-by-row order. See column 7, lines 10-47. Thus, the examiner is interpreting the latch and decoder circuit (24) to be the row shift circuit which includes a row read scan circuit and a reset scan circuit. To address the limitation of "the row shift circuit having no random access function", since Roberts teaches that the imager operates to scan pixels in a "conventional row by row order" (col. 7, lines 33-34), the imager is operating without having a random access function. Since the row shift circuit is

operating to access each row for reset and readout sequentially, it is not operating under a random access function.

The Examiner acknowledges that the photoconverting element 42 in Fig. 2 of Roberts has an opposite orientation as the photoconverting element 20 in Fig. 10 of the instant application.

The Examiner notes that while the polarity of the charge in the circuits of Fig. 2 of Roberts and Fig. 10 of the instant application are opposite, the operation of the circuits are the same, i.e. the polarity of the charge is irrelevant to the function and effects of the circuits.

- Regarding *claim 4*, Roberts teaches a readout row-shifter (Fig. 4, element 102) for sequentially supplying the row selection signal to the row selection signal lines; a reset row-shifter (Fig. 4, element 100) for sequentially supplying the reset signal to the reset signal lines; and an image signal outputting device electrically connected to the output signal lines for generating an image signal representing the output signal and for sequentially outputting the image signal (col. 9, lines 1-7).
- Regarding *claim 5*, Roberts teaches at least one analog signal generator for converting the output signal generated on each output signal line (Fig. 9, CCVL) into an analog voltage signal (Fig. 6, Vout; col. 9, lines 1-17); and a row-directional shifter for controlling operation of the analog signal generator and for sequentially outputting the analog voltage signal from the at least one analog signal generator (col. 1, lines 15-20 and 62-65).
- 13. Regarding *claim* 6, Roberts teaches an analog signal generator for converting the output signal generated on each output signal line into an analog voltage signal (col. 9, lines 1-17); and an analog-to-digital converter (Fig. 6, element 166) for receiving the analog voltage signal and for converting the analog voltage signal into a digital signal; and a buffer memory for receiving

the digital signal, temporarily keeping the digital signal therein, and outputting the digital signal therefrom because a buffer is inherent in an A/D converter since the result is latched and held for a time in order to provide an output value.

- 14. Regarding *claim* 7, Roberts teaches a controller (Fig. 1, element 208) for controlling operations (col. 13, lines 22-44) of the overall reset controller, the readout row-shifter, the reset row-shifter, and the image signal outputting device.
- Regarding *claim 8*, Roberts teaches a transfer signal line (Fig. 2, element 48) disposed for each pixel row; and a transfer control row-shifter for sequentially supplying (col. 5, line 6-13; col. 1, lines 62-65) a transfer control signal to the transfer signal lines, and each switching circuit further comprises a transfer transistor (Fig. 2, element 46) electrically connected between said cathode and gate of the photoelectric converter element and the gate of the output transistor, which gate is also connected to the reset transistor, the transfer transistor including a control terminal electrically connected to the transfer signal line.
- 16. Regarding *claim 10*, Roberts discloses a readout row-shifter for sequentially supplying the row selection signal to said row selection signal lines (Fig. 3, element 114 row select); a reset row-shifter for sequentially supplying the reset signal to said reset signal lines (Fig. 3, element 112 row reset); and an image signal outputting device electrically connected to said output signal lines for generating an image signal representing the output signal and for sequentially outputting the image signal (Fig. 4, element 128, Fig. 6).
- 17. Regarding *claim 11*, please see the rejection of claim 5.
- 18. Regarding *claim 12*, please see the rejection of claim 6.
- 19. Regarding *claim 13*, please see the rejection of claim 7.

20. Claim 20 is considered to be substantively equivalent to claim 1. Please see the discussion of claim 1 above.

21. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts (U.S. Pat. No. 5,452,004) in view of Ernest et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,827,348).

Regarding *claim 14*, please see the rejections of claims 1 and 4. Furthermore, Roberts teaches the use of an additional transistor (Fig. 9, element 200) in an alternative embodiment that enables a global reset and "snap shot" capability (col. 12, lines 28-38). One of ordinary skill in the art would have provided the additional transistor of Roberts alternative embodiment for the purpose of enabling still capture in addition to motion video capture. As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the additional transistor of Roberts alternative embodiment for the purpose of enabling still capture in addition to motion video capture. Further still, Roberts teaches a still picture indication signal generator for generating a still picture indication signal indicating shooting of a still picture (col. 12, lines 28-38) because a still picture indication signal is inherent in taking a snapshot.

Although Roberts teaches the use of an electronic shutter (col. 12, lines 46-50), Roberts does not disclose a light-shielding device capable of interrupting light incident to the image pickup device for a predetermined period of time after an overall reset operation. However, Ernest et al. teaches a mechanical shutter for interrupting light incident to an image pickup device (Fig. 3, element 24; col. 4, lines 11-15), which remains closed for a predetermined period of time until the still image is read out following an overall reset (Fig. 2). One of ordinary skill in the art would have provided the mechanical shutter of Ernest et al. for exposure control in a dual

mode camera in order to permit high shutter speed in the still mode and electronic shuttering in the video mode (col. 3, lines 7-13). As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the mechanical shutter of Ernest for exposure control in a dual mode camera in order to permit high shutter speed in the still mode and electronic shuttering in the video mode.

Furthermore, Ernest discloses a mobile picture mode controller (Fig. 3, element 20) electrically connected to said MOS-type solid-state image pickup device for continually controlling operation thereof for repeatedly conducting (a) an image readout operation in which the row selection signal is sequentially supplied from said readout row-shifter to a predetermined number of row selection signal lines for sequentially outputting from said image signal outputting device an image signal representing the output signal generated on each said output signal line (Fig. 3, Camera Timing and Control 20, CCD 12) because this is an inherent feature in a conventional CCD and (b) an electronic shutter operation (col. 2, lines 20-33) in which the reset signal is sequentially supplied from said reset row-shifter to said reset signal supply line at least associated with said pixel row as an object of the image signal readout operation for sequentially discharge electric charge accumulated in said photoelectric converter elements (Fig. 3, Camera Timing and Control 20, CCD 12) because this is an inherent feature in a conventional CCD; and a first still picture mode controller (Fig. 3, element 20; Fig. 2, Still Switch On) electrically connected to said MOS-type solid-state image pickup device for controlling in place of said mobile mode controller, when the still picture indication signal is outputted, operations of said MOS-type solid-state image pickup device and said light shielding device, for conducting an overall reset operation in which the overall reset controller is operated, in a state in which the

operations of said readout row-shifter and said rest row-shifter are stopped, and electric charge accumulated in all said photoelectric converter elements is discharged, and for conducting an image signal readout operation in which said light shielding device is operated and interrupts the incident light for a predetermined period of time after the overall reset operation is finished, and the row selection signal is sequentially supplied from said readout row-shifter to said row selection signal lines for sequentially outputting an image signal representing the output signal generated on said output signal lines from said image signal outputting device (Fig. 2, Still; col. 5, line 63-col. 6, line 48).

- 23. Regarding *claim 15*, Ernest teaches when in the video mode (corresponding to an electronic shutter operation), and the still picture indication signal is outputted, the still picture mode controller does not interrupt the operation (Fig. 2, Still Switch On, Video, Still); when an electronic shutter operation is being executed at a point of time when the still picture indication signal is outputted, the still picture mode controller conducts once the image signal readout operation once after the electronic shutter operation (Fig. 2, Still Switch On, Video, Still); and then the first still picture mode controller conducts the overall reset operation (col. 6, lines 28-59) when a global reset is operated in preparation for a second still picture.
- 24. Regarding *claim 16*, please see the rejection of claim 8. Furthermore, Roberts teaches a mobile picture mode controller (Fig. 1, element 208) or the first still picture mode controller conducting the transfer control row-shifter for sequentially supplying, in the image readout operation, the row reset operation, or the overall reset operation, the transfer control signal to each transfer signal lines associated with the pixel row as an object of the operation.

25. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts (U.S. Pat. No. 5,452,004), in view of Ernest et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4, 827, 348), and further in view of Soeda et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,382,974).

Regarding *claim 17*, Roberts teaches motion video and still image capture (col. 1, line 55-col.2, line 5; col. 12, lines 28-38). Furthermore, Ernest teaches a shutter that interrupts light for a predetermined period of time (please see the rejection of claim 14). Neither Roberts nor Ernest teaches the use of a strobe device or a controller for a second still picture mode comprising a strobe device.

However, Soeda et al. teaches a strobe device (Fig. 1, element 20) for emitting flash light when a predetermined signal is received (Fig. 5) or the strobe device installing device for installing therein; a second still picture mode controller (strobe mode: col. 13, lines 27-31; Fig. 3, elements \$8 and \$18) electrically connected to the image pickup device for controlling in place of a mobile mode controller, when the still picture indication signal is outputted; a strobe device operation signal is generated for operating the strobe device (Fig. 5); a shutter is operated for a predetermined period of time after strobe device operation signal is generated (Fig. 5); and a still picture mode specifying device (Fig. 3, element \$8; col. 14, lines 24-38) for specifying a still picture mode controller to be operated when the still picture indication signal is outputted.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have provided the strobe of Soeda et al. with the imager of Roberts and Ernest et al. for the purpose of increasing the maximum photographable distance to an object and to obtain a high quality image (col. 13, line 65-col. 14, line 3). As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the strobe of Soeda et al. with the imager of Roberts and Ernest et al. for the purpose

of increasing the maximum photographable distance to an object and to obtain a high quality image (col. 13, line 65-col. 14, line 3).

- 27. Regarding claim 18, Roberts teaches motion video and still image capture (col. 1, line 55-col. 2, line 5; col. 12, lines 28-38). Roberts does not specifically teach that a still picture mode does not interrupt a video mode when a still picture mode is indicated. However, Ernest et al. teaches an electronic shutter operation or an image signal readout operation is being executed at a point of time when the still picture indication signal is outputted, a still picture mode controller does not interrupt the operation; and when an electronic shutter operation is being executed at a point of time when the still picture indication signal is outputted, a still picture mode controller conducts the image signal readout operation once after the electronic shutter operation; and then a still picture mode controller conducts the overall reset operation (Fig. 2, Clear CCD; col. 5, line 63-co1.6, line 48).
- 28. Regarding *claim 19*, please see the rejection for the rejection of claim 16.
- 29. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (571) 272-7319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ohn M. Villecco